

# Interview Summary

Application No.  
09/594,324

Applicant(s)  
Matsumura et al.

Examiner  
Ivars Cintins

Art Unit  
1724

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Ivars Cintins

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. John S. Mortimer

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Jul 17, 2003

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12 & 13

Identification of prior art discussed:  
Chen et al. ; Shmidt et al. ; Vander Bilt et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

An RCE will be filed incorporating the limitations of claims 12 and 13 into claim 1.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

IVARS CINTINS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 1724

Ivars Cintins  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.